# Leveraging motion information and efficient projection kernels to represent human actions

Elena Nicora, Nicoletta Noceti



### **The Gray Code Kernels**

Family of filters able to approximate any desired kernel that also comes with an efficient projection scheme

Filtering an image with a set of GCKs means that, after the first convolution, we are able to obtain the same result with a fixed number of summation per pixels (instead of a number of multiplications that depends on the size of the kernel).

Given a set of *M* Gray-Code Kernels and a kernel of size *nxnxn*: Classical full 3D convolution will require

- M (n³) multiplications per each pixel
- Separable full convoltions will require M (3n) operations per each pixel
- GCKs projection scheme will require one full convolution + (M-1)2 summations per pixel



# **Representation pipeline**



Videos are processed in blocks:

3.

- Projection Module is responsible for the computation of the GCKs projections. Information included in the bank of results are then represented globally by means of two pooling strategies (max and average pooling).
- ule combines spatio-temporal information gathered from MaxPool and AvgPool (location, temporal development and direction of motion) and produces a segmentation mask of the moving object.
- Dictionary Module takes in input spatio-temporal projections of the detected area in order to: Generate a dictionary of common features [only for the training set] a)
  - b) Express each block as a histogram of atom frequencies

Nicora, Elena, et al. "The MoCA dataset, kinematic and multi-view visual streams of fine-grained cooking actions." Scientific Data 7.1 (2020): 1-15.
Ben-Artzi, Gil et al. "The gray-code filter kernels." *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 29.3 (2007): 382-393.

[3] Gao, Dashan, et al. "The discriminant center-surround hypothesis for bottom-up saliency." Advances in neural information processing systems 20 (2007): 497-504. [4] Cong. Runnin, et al. "Review of visual saliency detection with comprehensive information." IEEE Transactions on circuits and Systems for Video Technology 29.10 (2018): 2941-2959. [5] Farneb¨ack, G.: Two-frame motion estimation based on polynomial expansion. In: Scan-dinaviar conference on Image analysis. pp. 363–370. Springer (2003)

Conference on Image analysis, pp. 363–370. Springer (2003) [6] Zhuo T, et al.: Unsupervised online videobject segmentation with motion property understanding. IEEE Transactions on ImageProcessing29, 237–249 (2019) [7] Zikkovic, Z: Improved adaptive gaussian mixture model for background subtraction. In:Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, 2004, ICPR2004, vol. 2, pp. 28–31. IEEE (2004)

#### Elena Nicora dibris.unige.it

MaLGa center – DIBRIS Università degli Studi di Genova



# **Experimental results**

### Human Action Classification

Weizmann Dataset full-body movement, fixed camera SVM model + Gaussian Kernel



	Accuracy
Bend	88.63
Jumping Jack	97.75
Jump	69.23
Jump on the spot	82.48
Run	77.04
Side run	59.22
Skip	54.20
Walk	92.68
Wave (one hand)	92.52
Wave (two hands)	95.95
Mean accuracy	80.97

### Salient motion detection and segmentation

SegTrackv2 dataset includes videos representing challenging Computer Vision scenarios (motion blur, complex deformations, occlusions and slow motion). Video can be categorized wrt camera movement. We compared the ability of detecting and segmenting salient motion in a video of our pipeline with respect to classical motion detection video:

- Gunnar-Farneback Optical Flow SIFT based Optical Flow Background subtraction

Table below shows invariance with respect to camera motion achieving the best results both at pixel level and at bounding box level.

		Segmentation IoU				Bounding Box IoU			
		Farneback	SIFT	BS	GCKs	Farneback	SIFT	BS	GCK
	birdfall	0.469	0.403	0.459	0.222	0.319	0.503	0.288	0.297
Fixed camera	worm	0.036	0.195	0.165	0.146	0.073	0.166	0.064	0.105
	hummingbird	0.419	0.437	0.643	0.341	0.759	0.756	0.870	0.669
	frog	0.361	0.506	0.53	0.243	0.469	0.578	0.549	0.48
	Mean IoU	0.321	0.385	0.449	0.238	0.405	0.500	0.442	0.387
Handheld camera	bird of paradise	0.293	0.406	0.193	0.286	0.494	0.560	0.551	0.633
	bmx	0.327	0.286	0.271	0.374	0.33	0.514	0.219	0.715
	penguin	0.119	0.278	0.069	0.202	0.557	0.568	0.588	0.566
	parachute	0.023	0.33	0.059	0.28	0.038	0.374	0.046	0.31
	Mean IoU	0.190	0.325	0.148	0.285	0.354	0.504	0.351	0.556
Dynamic camera	cheetah	0.029	0.069	0.151	0.336	0.097	0.17	0.098	0.4
	drift	0.011	0.005	0.136	0.419	0.16	0.16	0.183	0.348
	monkey	0.035	0.01	0.07	0.063	0.087	0.086	0.087	0.086
	monkeydog	0.048	0.069	0.068	0.114	0.165	0.188	0.142	0.199
	soldier	0.023	0.022	0.083	0.23	0.111	0.116	0.103	0.202
	girl	0.045	0.066	0.064	0.25	0.158	0.231	0.162	0.274
	Mean IoU	0.031	0.040	0.095	0.235	0.129	0.158	0.129	0.251
	Overall	0.159	0.220	0.211	0.250	0.272	0.355	0.282	0.377

